

# FISCAL NOTE

**Bill #:** HB0424

**Title:** Revise community corrections act

**Primary**

**Sponsor:** Jeff Mangan

**Status:** As introduced

Sponsor signature	Date	Dave Lewis, Budget Director	Date
-------------------	------	-----------------------------	------

## Fiscal Summary

	<b><u>FY2000 Difference</u></b>	<b><u>FY2001 Difference</u></b>
<b>Expenditures:</b>		
General Fund	\$580,063	\$570,063
<b>Revenue:</b>	\$0	\$0
<b>Net Impact on General Fund Balance:</b>	<b>(\$580,063)</b>	<b>(\$570,063)</b>

<b><u>Yes</u></b>	<b><u>No</u></b>		<b><u>Yes</u></b>	<b><u>No</u></b>	
	X	Significant Local Gov. Impact		X	Technical Concerns
	X	Included in the Executive Budget		X	Significant Long-Term Impacts

## Fiscal Analysis

### ASSUMPTIONS:

1. Existing resources of the Board of Crime Control (BCC) are insufficient to perform the duties and responsibilities of this legislation.
2. If funding were available there would be impact to 10 programs in the first biennium.
3. The average cost to operate a basic community corrections program is \$50,000 annually.
4. There is insufficient information to estimate what additional construction costs may be.
5. It is difficult to estimate the costs for developing the training component, as there are many different types of community sentencing programs requiring different types of training.
6. There is no fiscal impact to the Department of Justice.
7. There is no fiscal impact to the Department of Public Health and Human Services.

(continued)

8. There is no fiscal impact to the state funded Judiciary.
9. There is no fiscal impact to the Secretary of State.
10. This bill would impact the Department of Corrections if offenders who would otherwise have been sent to a department facility were diverted to a community corrections facility or program (CCP). Because of insufficient information regarding when CCPs would become available and the number and types of offenders who would be served by the CCPs, no fiscal impact is projected.
11. The bill does not alter, in general, the ability of local governments to operate a CCP. It would expand the source of funding that could be granted to a CCP.

FISCAL IMPACT:

	FY2000 <u>Difference</u>	FY2001 <u>Difference</u>
<b>Board of Crime Control</b>		
FTE	1.50	1.50
<u>Expenditures:</u>		
Personal Services	\$49,459	\$49,459
Operating Expenses	30,604	20,604
State Grants	<u>500,000</u>	<u>500,000</u>
TOTAL	\$580,063	\$570,063
<u>Funding:</u>		
General Fund (01)	\$580,063	\$570,063
<u>Revenues:</u>	\$0	\$0
<u>Net Impact to Fund Balance (Revenue minus Expenditure):</u>		
General Fund (01)	(\$580,063)	(\$570,063)

EFFECT ON COUNTY OR OTHER LOCAL REVENUES OR EXPENDITURES

The bill would allow local governments to operate a CCP, which would increase revenues and expenditures.